

## **Stability and Commercial Information – An Economic Approach and a Look at China**

Doris Fischer

*Stability and information are prominent topics of economic research. Unfortunately though, research concerning the influence of the information system on economic and social stability is scarce. In contrast, Chinese politics argue with the importance of social and economic stability to limit freedom in information flows. The article summarizes theoretical attempts in economic research to grasp questions concerning the relationship between stability, information, and economic performance, thus describing a new research programme. The central thesis is that analysing institutions and institutional change within the Chinese information system might be the best starting point to explain the impact of the information system on economic performance and stability.*

Stability and information are concepts with a prominent place in economics literature. The use of both concepts in a theoretical context is however, rare. In contrast to this situation, the Chinese government regularly draws a connection between information, the dissemination of information and stability. Information which can be assumed to trigger dissatisfaction or unrest among the general public or a part thereof should not, if possible, be made broadly available. This is especially clear in Chinese media politics. Just recently, such a directive of this sort was made: "The media should not report anything that is going to affect stability, however fair and objective the report may be".<sup>1</sup>

The position of the Chinese government stands in contrast to the internal Chinese discussion about the "Knowledge Society" (Dahlman/Aubert 2001), to efforts to erect and/or expand a modern information infrastructure (Zhou/Wang 2001: 2-7) and to further open China's economy.<sup>2</sup> The contribution which follows summarizes evidence from economic research on stability and information, their economic meaning and possible relationships. Theses about the relationship between stability and information policies in the Chinese context follow. This contribution represents the early stage of a DFG supported research project. Through further theoretical development and empirical research hopefully it will be possible to examine and support the theses and conclusions presented here.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Hong Kong Ming Bao*, 23.02.03, cited by the Foreign Broadcast Information Service, <http://wnc.fedworld.gov>, document no. FBIS-CHI-2002-0223, downloaded on May 6, 2002.

<sup>2</sup> Because information positively affects the creation of added social value and contributes to building trust, it can be assumed that a positive relationship also exists between information policies or transparency on the one hand, and the attractiveness of markets or investments in these markets. See Fisman/Khanna 1999 on the relationship between "trust" and information.

## **1 Stability as an object of economic research**

### **1.1 The concept of stability in economic policy**

Stability is not clearly defined in economic and social-scientific research. Stability policy or stabilization policy, in both scientific fields, point first to the attempt to stabilize a social system. If the social system is divided into sub-systems, the economic sub-system can be generally distinguished from the political-administrative and socio-cultural systems. Imbalances in the individual sub-systems can contribute to instability of the whole system (Wagner 1998: 5, Wolff 1990). The economic policy approach focusses on the possibilities for improving or establishing the stability of an economic system.

The goal of stabilization policy is to prevent the situation "that relevant imbalances in the economic system arise or persist" (Wagner 1998: 6, author's translation). More specifically, guaranteeing the maintenance or re-establishment of one or more pre-defined stability goals is what is at issue (Cassel/Thieme 1999: 365). Various views about which economic areas could serve the goals of stability policy, which instruments are available for these goals and to what extent goal conflicts could arise have led to alternating theoretical and practical approaches over the last decade (Vines 1991: 464).

Information, in the traditional approach to economic stability, appears only in the form of prices. Prices for products, capital, and labor present information about the relative scarcity of these factors in the ideal world of classical equilibrium models. Price fluctuations are expressions and causes of adaptations or changes in the supply and demand for the particular factors, and thus carriers of information. In this regard, the problems with which stabilization policies have to deal are strong price fluctuations and protracted adaptation processes. Research on the relationship between media reports about macro-economic indicators and the development of rates of currency exchange show of course, that the development of prices are themselves influenced by information (Galati/Ho 2001: 2-4).

In addition to the issue of prices as carriers of information, stabilization theory is also concerned with questions of incomplete information, rational expectations, and asymmetrical information of market actors. These approaches are distinguished by the attention they give to the question of how market actors ideally behave in certain situations where they do not have complete information. Game theory approaches examine under what conditions information leads to the behaviors predicted by the economy for economic subjects (Wagner 1998: 64).

Although not usually thematized, a related question concerns the consequences for the behaviors of market actors and economic stability when economic indicators are distorted or when optimism or pessimism in relation to such information is artificially created in the media. To what extent can the manipulation or the teleological representation of economic data, quasi in the sense of a "self-fulfilling prophecy", influence the development of an economy? In as far as such an influence appears possible, connections arise between economic stability and stabilization policy on the one hand, and the information infrastructure and information policy on the other.

## 1.2 The Concept of Stability in the New Institutional Economics

The "new institutional economics" is based on various theoretical approaches originating mainly in a distancing from or expansion on neo-classical economic theory (Furubotn/Richter 1991). The common point of departure for these approaches is the assumption that institutions, understood as informal and formal rules (North 1989) are relevant for economic development because they regulate the social behavior of individuals (Furubotn/Richter 1991). The acknowledgement of the meaning of institutions is based in part, in the realization that economic action is tied to transaction costs (Williamson 1975), the amount of which are influenced by types of available institutions.

In the context of the new institutionalist economics, stability is thematized as the stability of institutional arrangements. "A basic function of institutions is to provide stability and continuity by dampening the effects of relative price changes" (North 1997: 6). Institutions are understood as rules and norms, created by people and which serve to circumvent social and communicative uncertainty (Goodin 1998: 22-23). A particular challenge to the explanatory power of institutional theory in economics is posed by economic development: while institutions create stability, they also at the same time are changeable (Erlei, Leschke, Sauerland 1999). Institutional change can contribute to the perception of stability by actors. Thus, important questions concerning how to understand economic development and stability must be posed in relation to the problem of how institutional change can be designed as continual change and which factors are meaning for this.

## 1.3 Economic stability as an amendment to other concepts of stability

The concept of stability in stability policy opens the possibility for measuring instability as the deviation from goals and targets. Instability measures are indicators and warning signs which can be understood as demands on economic policy to act against such developments.

A comprehensive concept of stability brings with it the problem of measuring instability. In relation to this, the example of the PR China shows how difficult it is to diagnose stability and predict stability crises. Ever since the beginning of the reform process, the failure of the reform course or even the collapse of China has been predicted again and again (e.g. Chang 2001). Is China in this sense stable, because the tensions have not lead (yet) to a collapse of political and economic institutions, or is China unstable, in reference, for example, to the infiltration of formal institutions by informal ones (weak administration of justice, corruption)? Should a revolution take place in the future, does that mean that China is already unstable today? At what point in time is a clear situation of instability visible or measurable? Due to the measurement problem, political scientists often rely on observations of domestic unrest (protests, violent demonstrations, etc.) as indicators of political instability (Wolff 1990: 75).

## 2 Information as an object of economic research

Information forms the basis of human decision behaviors. This insight is a central theme of micro-economic theory. The models developed by the classical micro-economics originate in the assumption that all economic actors are in possession of complete information as well as the correct decision models in order to make rational decisions. If this unrealistic assumption is abandoned, the question immediately arises as to how information behaviors can be integrated into the economic calculations of economic actors (Arrow 1984; Molho 1997). Recent economic approaches assume that full information cannot be available to decision-makers (Simon 1992). Nonetheless, it is still typically assumed that economic actors, on the basis of restricted information are still in the situation to make rational decisions (Wessling 1991). Micro-economic analysis also abstracts from the origins or quality of information.

From the perspective of the national economy, information is rarely considered directly (except Porat 1977; Kaminsky 1977), but rather indirectly in relation to competition issues in the media sector (e.g. Balle 1999). The interest of competition theory is in the peculiarities of the market for information, which at least are partly granted the characteristic of a public good (Wildmann 1998: 3). The threat of market failures and the necessity for government intervention derive from this situation. Government intervention also has to do with political necessities. While in totalitarian regimes government interventions in the information sector are conditioned by the desire of rulers to control information contents, in democratic systems a regulation of the media market is explained by the fact that certain criteria must guide the dissemination of information by the mass media. Factors which such regulations seek to protect are, for example, variety (of opinions and channels) and balance.

The growing importance of the "new media" has raised the issue of the meaning of information and information technology for national economic development. Keywords like "new economy" and information society call to attention the extent to which growth and employment are related to technological progress and the new possibilities of information procurement, dissemination, and networking (e.g. Castells 1996, Shapiro, Varian 1999). Quick access of many economic actors to ever more information has become an economic and growth factor (Lamberton 1998). The assumption that future growth is especially dependent on information technology, knowledge, and the economics of information means that industrial policy perspectives in this context, are easily accepted.

From the perspective of institutional economics the question of the economic meaning of information moves closer to communications policies and the economics of the media (Lamberton 1998). Which rules determine the collection, processing, dissemination and possibly the manipulation of information? Which organisational form of communication arise as a result of these rules? In what sense does the institutional arrangement of information systems influence the transaction costs of those who supply and demand information? What influence does the institutional arrangement of information systems exert on the economic order and the stability thereof (Heinrich 1999)?

These considerations are the point of departure for further issues about China and for the investigation which will be undertaken in relation to the research agenda presented here. The thesis is that the institutional arrangement of economic information is meaningful for economic and political stability. This thesis is based on the assumption that information which is produced and published can seldom be understood as objective data. Information has always involved an element of selection and processing. The selection and processing of information through the information system is itself influenced by the institutional frameworks and arrangements (Williamson 1990) upon which the information system is built. For an understanding of the economic meaning of information for a national economy, an analysis is necessary of the entire information and knowledge percolation system:

[...] the attempt to create a new, more encompassing, economics of information and knowledge activities would benefit from the adoption of a 'communication perspective' [...] Adoption of a 'communication perspective' would lead to the explicit recognition of the three *interrelated* aspects involved in the knowledge communication process, i.e. knowledge creation, knowledge transmission, and knowledge absorption. (emphasis in original, Engelbrecht 1998: 359-360)

- The most important argument showing that there is reason to doubt social stability is the clear fear of instability on the part of the Chinese government. This is expressed in repeated declarations of the importance of stability and in the fact that the goal of stability is placed above all other objectives.

The close relationship between stability and information produced by the Chinese government is reflected above all in media policy. The current examples from media policy mentioned at the beginning show the priorities of the Chinese government. To overstate the point, the Chinese government prefers to channel or steer institutional change necessary for market-economic and technical reasons in order to prevent themselves from losing control over selected information and communication (Chan 1993). While they support change on the one hand, they also restrict it, first by withholding information and where necessary, falsifying information and second, by restricting access to information and the foundation of institutions which attempt to organize information flows in accordance with private-sector rules, all on the grounds that preserving stability in relevant areas is necessary (White 1998). Examples of such claims to control are the banning of private-sector news production (Xinwen Chubanshu 1999), the censoring of science and the attempt to regulate the use of the internet (Drake, Kalathil, Boas 2000).

The claim to control stands against policies, which attempt to release economic actors from the planning economy, encouraging responsibility and, freed from public support, allowing them to move more efficiently in a market economy (Billeter 2000). These policies also recognize that economic actors need more information and communication (White 1998). New forms of communication and institutions for creating information (private economic research institutions, new media, etc.) are opened by economic liberalization, and seem appropriate for reducing the dependence on state information (Goldman 1999). Although the point of departure of new institutional theory ("institutions matter") is now well acknowledged, these aspects have been ignored in work on China's information sector.

In relation to the publication of statistics about China, institutional changes are one of many arguments which could explain doubts about the quality of Chinese statistics. For example, references are made to the incentive structure for (mainly) local civil servants (e.g. Qiong 1998: 11). A more systematic examination of the institutional framework of the statistical system and its changes, e.g. questions of financing such a system, are missing until now.

An institutional economic analysis of the economic research about China, as far as the author knows, does not exist. Thus we know very little about private research institutions and their relation to the traditional state unities. Critical assessment from abroad or in China have not yet been made of how the new organisation of economic research and the access of researchers to information influence the procedures of information processing and with these the availability of economic research results to the general public. The interest of institutional economics is strongest in the arena of information transmission by the mass media: surely the research interests of political science and media science are also great, yet investigations now consider that the market-economic pressure in this arena has initiated institutional change (Zhao 1998, Chen 1998, Fischer 2001a).

A recent study is not available which undertakes an integrated examination of institutional changes in the areas mentioned as aspects of the Chinese information system. The study undertaken by Maurer (1990) attempting a survey of Chinese information and communications in the first half of the 80s, remained a descriptive approach. The discrepancy between market principles in economic policy on the one hand and an unchanged political order on the other hand has grown larger. The policy of state control of information stands in contradiction to the required freedom of decision of information producers, necessary for such producers to be economically successful in their supplying of information. Further, claims to control information contradict the goal of building macro-economic governance mechanisms fitting a market economy. The latter is only possible on the basis of market signals. The distortion of market signals through information restrictions reduce the effectiveness of macro-economic governance. In as far as a functional relationship between the economic order and institutional arrangements of the information system can be assumed, in the Chinese case, either a clearly dysfunctional situation should be evident or there must be a change in the institutions of information, which would also be an indicator of change in political culture.

## References

- Arrow, Kenneth J. (1984). *The Economics of Information* (Collected Papers of Kenneth J. Arrow, Vol. 4), Cambridge
- Balle, Francis (1999). *Médias et Sociétés*, 9<sup>th</sup> ed., Paris
- Billeter, Jean François (2000). *Chine – Trois Fois Muette*, Paris
- Cassel, Dieter; Thieme, Jörg H. (1999). "Stabilitätspolitik", in: *Vahlens Kompendium der Wirtschaftstheorie und Wirtschaftspolitik*, Vol. 2, 7<sup>th</sup> ed., München
- Castells, Manuel (1996). *The Information Age: Economy, Society, Culture, Vol. 1: The Rise of the Network Society*, Oxford
- Chan, Joseph Man (1993). "Commercialization without Independence: trends and Tensions of Media Development in China", in: *China Review* 1993, Hong Kong
- Chang, Gordon G. (2001). *The Coming Collapse of China*. New York
- Chen Huailin (1998). "Institutional evolution of China's media in the nineties", in: *Ershiyi shiji*, No. 6, p. 4-14
- Dahmann, Carl J.; Aubert, Jean-Eric (2001). *China and the Knowledge Economy – Seizing the 21st Century. Overview*, WBI Development Studies, Washington: The World Bank
- Drake, William J.; Kalathil, Shanthi; Boas, Taylor C. (2000). *Dictatorship in the Digital Age: Some Considerations on the Internet in China and Cuba*, The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, <http://www.ceip.org>
- Engelbrecht, Hans-Jürgen (1998). "A communication perspective in the international information and knowledge system", in: *Information Economics and Policy*, Vol. 10, p. 359-367
- Erlei, Mathias; Leschke, Martin; Sauerland, Dirk (1999). *Neue Institutionenökonomik*. Stuttgart
- Fischer, Doris (2001a). *Rückzug des Staates aus dem chinesischen Mediensektor? Neue institutionelle Arrangements am Beispiel des Zeitungsmarktes* (Duisburger Arbeitspapiere zur Ostasienwirtschaft, Nr. 56), Duisburg
- Fischer, Doris (2001b). "Economic Exchange and the Impact of WTO Accession on China", in: *Political, Economic, and Social Change in China – Prospects for Instability, Conflict Prevention Network (CNP) In-Depth Study for the European Commission*, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik, Berlin, Brussels 2001, p. 11-37
- Fisman, Raymond; Khanna, Tarun (1999). "Is trust a historical residue? Information flows and trust levels", in: *Journal of Economic Behaviour and Organization*, Vol. 38, p. 79-92
- Furubotn, Eirik G.; Richter, Rudolf (1991). "The New Institutional Economics: An Assessment", in: Dies. (ed.): *The New Institutional Economics – A Collection of Articles from the Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, Tübingen

- Galati, Gabriele; Ho, Corrinne (2001). *Macroeconomic news and the euro/dollar exchange rate* (BIS Working Papers, No. 105), Basel: Bank for International Settlements
- Goldman, Merle (1999). "The Emergence of Politically Independent Intellectuals", in: Goldman, Merle; MacFarquhar, Roderick (Hrsg.). *The Paradox of China's Post Mao Reforms* (Harvard Contemporary China Series, No. 12), Cambridge, London, p. 283-307
- Goodin, Robert E. (1998). "Institutions and Their Design", in: Ders. (ed.). *The Theory of Institutional Design*, Cambridge: Cambridge University, p. 1-53
- Heinrich, Jürgen (1999). *Medienökonomie*, Vol. 2, Hörfunk und Fernsehen, Opladen
- Kaminsky, Reiner (1977). *Information und Informationsverarbeitung als ökonomisches Problem*, München
- Khan, Azizur R.; Riskin, Carl (2001): *Inequality and Poverty in China in the Age of Globalization*, Oxford University Press
- Lamberton, Donald M. (1998). "Information economics research: Points of departure", in: *Information Economics and Policy*, Jg. 10, p. 325-330
- Lawrence, David B. (1999). *The Economic Value of Information*, New York et al.
- Maurer, Jürgen (1990). *Das Informations- und Kommunikationswesen in der VR China – Institutioneller Rahmen und Ausgestaltung* (Mitteilungen des Instituts für Asienkunde Nr. 182), Hamburg
- Molho, Ian (1997). *The Economics of Information – Lying and Cheating in Markets and Organizations*, Oxford
- North, Douglass C. (1989). "Institutional Change and Economic History", in: *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics* (JITE), Jg. 145, p. 238-245
- North, Douglas C. (1997). *The Contribution of the New Institutional Economics to an Understanding of the Transition Problem*, Helsinki: UNU World Institute for Development of Economic Research
- Porat, Marc Uri (1977). *The Information Economy*, US: Government Printing Office, Washington D.C.
- Qiong, Sheng (1998). "tongji: Bu neng chengwei shuzi youxi" (Die Statistik darf nicht zum Zahlenspiel werden), in: *Liaowang*, No. 15., p. 10-12
- Rawski, Thomas G.; Xiao Wei (2001). "Roundtable on Chinese Economic Statistics – Introduction", in: *China Economic Review*, Vol. 12, p. 298-302
- Shapiro, Carl; Varian, Hal R. (1999). *Information Rules: A Strategic Guide to the Network Economy*, Boston, Massachusetts
- Simon, Herbert (1992). *Economics, Bounded Rationality and the Cognitive Revolution*, Aldershot, Vermont
- Tang, Wenfang (2001). "Political and Social Trends in the Post-Deng Urban China: Crisis or Stability?", in: *The China Quarterly*, p. 890-909
- Vines, David (1991). "Stabilization policy", in: Eatwell, John et al. (eds.). *The new Palgrave — A Dictionary of Economics*, London et al., p. 464-465
- Wagner, Helmut (1998). *Stabilitätspolitik*, München
- Wessling, Ewald (1991). *Individuum und Information: die Erfassung von Information und Wissen in ökonomischen Handlungstheorien*, Tübingen
- White, Lynn T. III. (1998). *Unstately Power, Vol. II: Local Causes of China's Intellectual, Legal and Governmental Reforms*, Armonk, London
- Wildmann, Steven S. (1998). "Media and multimedia: The challenge for policy and economic analysis", in: *Information Economics and Policy*, Jg. 10, p. 1-7
- Williamson, Oliver E. (1990). "A comparison of Alternative Approaches to Economic Organization", in: *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics* (JITE), Jg. 146, p. 61-71
- Williamson, Oliver E. (1975). *Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Antitrust Implications*, New York, London
- Wolff, Jürgen H. (1990). *Weltwirtschaftliche Einflüsse auf die politische Stabilität der Entwicklungsländer unter besonderer Berücksichtigung Lateinamerikas* (Materialien und kleine Schriften 128). Bochum: Institut für Entwicklungsforschung und Entwicklungspolitik
- Xinwen Chubanshu (1999). "Guanyu jiaqiang baozhi chuban guanli chongshen you guan guiding de tongzhi" (Erneute Mitteilung über die relevanten Regeln zur Verstärkung der Aufsichtsregeln zur Herausgabe von Zeitungen), in: *China Press*, No. 4, p. 34
- Zhao, Yuezhi (1998). *Media, Market, and Democracy in China: Between the Party Line and the Bottom Line*, Ann Arbor
- Zhou Shulian; Wang Weiguang (2001). "Lun gongyehua yu xinxihua de guanxi" (Über die Beziehungen von Industrialisierung und Informations[-infrastruktur]), in: *Zhongguo shehui kexueyuan yanjiu-shengyuan xuebao*, Vol. 2001, No. 2, p. 30-39, also in *Fuyin baokan ziliao*, Serie F 3, Gongye Jingji, Vol. 2001, No. 5, p. 2-11